Pergamon

Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry Letters 8 (1998) 1997–2000

BIOORGANIC & MEDICINAL CHEMISTRY LETTERS

ASYMMETRIC SYNTHESIS OF ANTIMITOTIC COMBRETADIOXOLANE WITH POTENT ANTITUMOR ACTIVITY AGAINST MULTI-DRUG RESISTANT CELLS

Ryuichi Shirai,* Hisae Takayama, Asuka Nishikawa, Yukiko Koiso, and Yuichi Hashimoto

Institute of Molecular and Cellular Biosciences (IMCB)

The University of Tokyo

1-1-1, Yayoi, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113, Japan

Received 12 May 1998; accepted 23 June 1998

abstract: The (*S,S*)-enantiomer of combretadioxolane (**3**), designed as a chirally preorganized derivative of combretastatin A-4, exhibited quite strong tubulin polymerization-inhibitory activity (IC_{50}: 4-6 μM). (*S,S*)-**3** is 20 times more potent than vincristine as an *in vitro* growth inhibitor (in terms of GI_{50}) of the multi-drug-resistant (MDR) cell line PC-12, which produces P-glycoprotein.

Combretastatin A-4 (**1**), which was isolated from a South African tree, *Combretum caffrum*, exerts its antineoplastic activity by disrupting the polymerization process of tubulin to microtubules. In spite of the structural simplicity of **1**, its antitubulin activity and cytotoxicity to tumor cells are quite strong.[1,2] In the chemotherapy of cancer, the emergence of multi-drug resistant (MDR) cells presents a serious problem, so it is noteworthy that **1** displays strong cytotoxicity toward daunorubicin-resistant P-388 cells.[3] Therefore, considerable attention has been paid in the development of new antineoplastic and antimitotic agents based on **1**.[4] It is well known that the antimitotic agent colchicine (**2**), which shares the same binding site as **1**, has (a*S*,7*S*)-configuration.[5] We have been working on the synthesis of unnatural combretastatins with potent antitubulin activity.[6] In the previous report we demonstrated that the dioxolane based *cis*-(*S,S*) analog was the sole active compound out of twelve chiral stereoisomers.[7] Here, we describe the design and synthesis, by using a dioxolane-based chilaity inducer, of a novel combretastatin (**3**) with strong antitubulin activity and cytotoxic activity towards MDR cells.

Figure 1



Combretastatin A-4 (**1**)



Colchicine (**2**)



(*S,S*)-**3**



(*R,R*)-**3**

0960-894X/98/$ - see front matter
PII: S0960-894X(98)00344-8

Asymmetric dihydroxylation of *trans*-stilbene (**6**), synthesized from 3,4,5-trimethoxybenzaldehyde and 4-hydroxy-3-methoxybenzaldehyde, with AD-mix-α gave the chiral diol (*S,S*)-**7**.[8] Its optical purity was confirmed to be >99%ee by examination of the ^{1}H-NMR spectrum of the bisMosher ester. The diol **7** was converted to 1,3-dioxolanes (**8**) by treatment with 50% NaOH and dibromomethane in dichloromethane in the presence of a phase-transfer catalyst. Deprotection of the MOM ether was performed by heating in 80% acetic acid to give the desired (*S,S*)-**3**. (*R,R*)-**3** was synthesized similarly using AD-mix-β (Scheme 1).

The tubulin polymerization-inhibitory activity of (*S,S*)- and (*R,R*)-**3** was examined by measurement of the turbidity of an aqueous solution of porcine brain tubulin in the presence of respective drug (Figure 2).[9] (*S,S*)-**3** exhibited quite strong inhibitory activity (IC_{50}: 4-6 μM), which was comparable to that of combretastatin A-4 (IC_{50}: 3-4 μM) and much stronger than colchicine (IC_{50}: 10 μM) under the experimental conditions. On the other hand, (*R,R*)-**3** was almost inactive (IC_{50}: >50 μM). This result clearly demonstrates that (*S,S*)-**3** takes a favorable conformation for tubulin binding, while (*R,R*)-**3** does not.[7, 10]

Scheme 1



Figure 2. *In vitro* tubulin polymerization in the presence of (*S.S*)- and (*R,R*)-**3**



We also examined the *in vitro* growth inhibition (GI_{50}) of PC-6 and PC-12 cells, of which the latter exhibited multidrug resistance (MDR) (Table 1). (*S,S*)-**3** showed potent activity towards both cell lines with almost the same GI_{50} values, and was 60 to 100 times more potent than cisplatin. In addition, (*S,S*)-**3** exhibited 20 times stronger activity than vincristine towards PC-12, which express P-glycoprotein as a mechanism of MDR resistance, though it was slightly less potent than vincristine against PC-6 cells.

Table 1. *In vitro* growth inhibition (GI_{50}) of sensitive and resistant cells by various drugs

cells	cisplatin	vincristine	(*S,S*)-**3**
PC-6	563 nM	1.14 nM	5.41 nM
PC-12 (MDR)	300 nM	104 nM	5.19 nM

In conclusion, chiral dioxolane-based (*S,S*)-**3** was effectively differentiated by tubulin, displaying strong, chirality-selective inhibition of tubulin polymerization. It also inhibited the *in vitro* growth of PC-12 cells with acquired resistance to vincristine. Since the mode of action of both vincristine and (*S,S*)-**3** is disruption of the polymerization process of tubulin to microtubules, (*S,S*)-**3** is considered to be a new lead compound for the development of MDR-overcoming antineoplastic agents targeting tubulin .

Acknowledgment:

We are grateful to Dr. Akio Ejima, Mr. Michio Iwahana, and Mr. Kenji Hirotani, Daiichi Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd. for evaluation of the cytotoxicity of (*S,S*)-**3**. This work was supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research from the Ministry of Education, Science, Sport and Culture, Japan.

References and Notes:

1. Pettit, G. R.; Singh, S. B.; Niven, M. L.; Hamel, E.; Schmidt, J. M. *J. Nat. Prod.* **1987**, *50*, 119; Pettit, G. R.; Singh, S. B.; Hamel, E.; Lin, C. M.; Alberts, D. S.; Garcia-Kendall, D. *Experientia*, **1989**, *45*, 209.
2. Lin, C. M.; Singh, S. B.; Chu, P. S.; Dempcy, R. O.; Schmidt, J. M.; Pettit, G. R.; Hamel, E. *Molecular Pharmacology*, **1988**, *34*, 200; Cushman, M.; Nagarathnam, D.; Gopal, D.; Chakraborti, A. K.; Lin, C. M.; Hamel, E. *J. Med. Chem.* **1991**, *34*, 2579; Getahum, Z.; Jurd, L.; Chu, P. S.; Lin, C. M.; Hamel, E. *J. Med. Chem.* **1992**, *35*, 1058; Cushman, M.; Nagarathnam, D.; Gopal, D.; He, H.-M.; Lin, C. M.; Hamel, E. *J. Med. Chem.* **1992**, *35*, 2293; Cushman, M.; He, H-M.; Lin, C. M.; Hamel, E. *J. Med. Chem.*, **1993**, *36*, 2817.
3. McGown, A. T.; Fox, B.W. *Cancer Chemother. Pharmacol.* **1990**, *26*, 79.
4. Pettit, G. R.; Temple, C. Jr.; Narayanan, V. L.; Varma, R.; Simpson, M. J.; Boyd, M. R.; Rener, G. A., Bansal, N. *Anticancer Drug Des.* **1995**, *10*, 299; Dark, G. G.; Hill, S. A.; Prise, V. E.; Tozer, G. M.; Pettit, G. R.; Chaplin, D. J. *Cancer Res.* **1997**, *57*, 1829.
5. Yeh, H. J. C.; Chrzanowska, M .; Brossi, A. *FEBS Lett.* **1988**, *229*, 82; Brossi, A.; Boyé, O.; Muzaffar, A.; Yeh, H. J. C.; Toome, V.; Wegrzynski, B.; George, C. *FEBS Lett.* **1990**, *262*, 5; Berg, U.; Deinum, J.; Lincoln, P.; Kvassman, J. *Bioorg. Chem.* **1991**, *19*, 53; Shi, Q.; Verdier-Pinard, P.; Brossi, A.; Hamel, E.; Lee, K.-H. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* **1997**, *5*, 2277.
6. Shirai, R.; Tokuda, K.; Koiso, Y.; Iwasaki, S. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **1994**, *4*, 699.
7. Shirai, R.; Okabe, T.; Iwasaki, S. *Heterocycles*, **1997**, *46*, 145.
8. Kolb, H. C.; VanNieuwenhze, M. S.; Sharpless, K. B. *Chem. Rev.* **1994**, *94*, 2483.
9. The activity of drugs to inhibit porcine brain tubulin polymerization was examined as described previously. Takahashi, M.; Iwasaki, S.; Kobayashi, H., Okuda, S., Murai, T., Sato, Y., *J. Antibiot.* **1987**, *40*, 66.
10. A similar stereochemical approach to 4,5-diaryl-1,3-dioxolanes has been reported. Rosini, C.; Spada, G. P.; Proni, G.; Masiero, S.; Scamuzzi, S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1997**, *119*, 506.